

LINDA E. SHOSTAK (CA SBN 64599)
LShostak@mofo.com
JAMES E. BODDY, JR. (CA SBN 65244)
JBoddy@mofo.com
KATHRYN M. DAVIS (CA SBN 203454)
KathrynDavis@mofo.com
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
Facsimile: 415.268.7522

Attorneys for Defendant
DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JAMES BRADY, SARAH CAVANAGH, and
IVA CHIU, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP, a limited liability
partnership; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C-08-00177-SI

**DEFENDANT DELOITTE &
TOUCHE LLP'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR
PERMISSION TO FILE
(1) OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE,
(2) OPPOSITION TO REQUEST
FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND
SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST
FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE, AND
(3) RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE,
IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION**

[N.D. L.R. 7-3(d)]

Date: February 19, 2010
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: 10
Judge: The Hon. Susan Illston

Complaint filed: February 8, 2008
Trial Date: None Set

1 Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 7-3(d), Defendant Deloitte & Touche LLP
2 (“Deloitte”) hereby requests permission from the Court to file the following documents to be
3 considered in conjunction with Deloitte’s Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification:

4 (1) Deloitte’s Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiffs’ Evidence Submitted In Support Of
5 Plaintiffs’ Reply Re Motion For Class Certification;

6 (2) Deloitte’s Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Request For Judicial Notice In Support Of Reply
7 and Supplemental Request For Judicial Notice In Support Of Defendant’s Opposition
8 To Plaintiffs’ Motion For Class Certification; and

9 (3) Deloitte’s Response to Plaintiffs’ Evidentiary Objections In Support Of Reply Re
10 Class Action.

11 Deloitte respectfully requests that the Court accept these supplemental materials, submitted to the
12 Court to respond to new evidence and arguments raised by Plaintiffs for the first time in their
13 Reply papers. The above-referenced proposed documents are submitted herewith, and attached
14 hereto as Exhibits 1, 2 and 3.

15 Dated: February 16, 2010

LINDA E. SHOSTAK
JAMES E. BODDY, JR.
KATHRYN M. DAVIS
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

16
17
18
19 By: /s/ Linda E. Shostak
LINDA E. SHOSTAK

20 Attorneys for Defendant
21 DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
22
23
24
25
26
27
28